Markus Amann, Chris Heyes International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA)

### A first evaluation of the environmental impacts of the emission ceilings of the revised Gothenburg Protocol

Task Force for Integrated Assessment Modelling Bilthoven, May 7-9, 2012

#### Contents



- Annex 2 emission ceilings reduction commitments
- Comparison with emissions of CIAM 4/2011 current legislation + MTFR scenarios
- Improvements in impact indicators
- The emission <del>ceilings</del> reduction commitments in a perspective towards 2050
- All results are provisional, due to short time for modelling

# Emission ceilings reduction commitments for 2020 as agreed in Annex 2

### PRELIMINARY RESULTS

The EB agreed on the following emission ceilings relative to 2005 (in %)

	EU27	CROATIA	NORWAY	SWITSERLAND	RUSSIA	BELARUS
SO2	59	55	10	20 **	5	19
NOx	43	30	23	43 **	5	28
NH3	6	1	7	13 **	5	7
VOC	28	40	40	32 **	0	21 *
PM2.5	22	18	30	26 **	3	9 *

### Emission ceilings reduction commitments for 2020 as agreed in Annex 2: EU-27

#### PRELIMINARY RESULTS

SO2		SO2	NOX		NH3		VOC		PM 2.5	
30/3 2012; 2 /5 2012	Emission levels 2005	Reduction from 2005 level								
Austria	27.3	26%*	236.8	48%*	62.7	1%	162.0	21%*	22.3	39%*
Belgium	145.2	43%	291.0	41%	71.3	2%	142.7	21%	24.4	20%
Bulgaria	776.6	78%	154.0	41%	59.8	3%	157.8	27%	44.4	20%
Cyprus	37.9	83%	21.1	44%	5.8	10%	13.9	45%	2.9	46%
Czech Rep.	218.6	52%	286.0	46%	82.0	16%*	181.8	27%*	21.7	22%
Denmark	22.9	35%	181.1	56%	82.7	24%	110.3	35%	25.4	33%
Estonia	76.3	47%*	36.6	31%*	9.8	9%*	41.1	29%*	19.9	35%*
Finland	69.2	30%	177.4	35%	38.8	20%	131.5	35%	36.0	30%
France	467.3	55%	1429.9	50%	660.9	4%	1232.3	43%	304.0	27%
Germany	517.0	21%	1464.0	39%	573.0	5%	1143.0	13%	121.2	26%
Greece	541.8	74%	419.1	31%	67.6	7%	221.8	54%	55.5	35%
Hungary	129.2	46%	203.1	34%	80.1	10%	177.5	30%	31.0	16%*
Ireland	71.1	65%	127.3	49%	109.1	5%*	56.5	25%	10.9	21%
Italy	402.5	35%	1212.2	40%	415.9	5%	1285.7	31%	165.8	14%*
Latvia	6.7	8%	37.3	35%*	15.6	7%	73.4	27%	27.4	18%*
Lithuania	43.7	55%	57.6	48%	39.4	10%	84.1	32%	8.7	20%
Luxemburg	2.5	34%	20.6	47%	5.0	4%	9.8	29%	3.10	25%
Malta	11.4	77%	9.32	49%*	1.60	4%	3.34	23%	1.34	25%
Netherlands	64.5	28%	370.0	45%	140.5	13%	182.0	8%	20.9	37%
Poland	1223.9	59%	865.8	30%	269.6	1%	593.2	25%	132.8	16%
Portugal	177.0	63%	256.2	36%	50.4	7%	206.7	18%	64.6	15%
Romania	642.6	77%	309.2	45%	198.5	13%	425.9	25%	105.4	28%
Slovakia	89.0	57%	103.5	36%	28.6	15%	76.0	18%	38.8	36%
Slovenia	39.9	58%*	46.7	42%*	17.7	4%*	37.4	22%*	14.0	27%*
Spain	1281.6	67%	1292.0	41%	364.8	12%	809.1	22%	92.8	22%*
Sweden	35.9	21%	174.1	36%	55.2	15%	196.7	25%	29.4	20%
UK	706.0	59%	1580.0	55%	307.0	8%	1088.0	32%	81.0	30%
EU27 PREL	7828	59%	11362	43%	3813	6%	8843	28%	1506	22%

#### Committed emission reduction commitments

#### vs. GAINS current legislation + MTFR estimates PRELIMINARY RESULTS



#### Committed emission reduction commitments for NOx

#### vs. GAINS current legislation + MTFR estimates PRELIMINARY RESULTS



# Change in impact indicators relative to 2000 (1) Revised Protocol

#### PRELIMINARY RESULTS

IASA



Baseline change 2000-2020 Scope for further technical measures Residual impacts + Protocol

#### Change in impact indicators relative to 2000 (2) Revised Protocol vs GAINS current legislation + MTFR PRELIMINARY RESULTS



Baseline change 2000-2020 Scope for further technical measures Residual impacts + Protocol

# Change in impact indicators relative to 2000 (3) EU-27 and non-EU countries

#### PRELIMINARY RESULTS

IASA



Baseline change 2000-2020 Scope for further technical measures Residual impacts + Protocol

#### EU-27:

#### Protocol improvements vs TSAP targets for 2020



#### A long-term perspective on EU-27 emissions

The protocol vs TSAP targets vs a 2050 2-degree scenarios



#### Net temperature change of emission reductions

#### relative to constant 2005 emissions



#### $\Delta T$ for <u>baseline</u> scenario

#### (relative to constant 2005 emissions)



Source: Fuglevsted et al., 2012

#### Conclusions

- Flexibility mechanisms make a robust quantitative analysis difficult
- Most 2020 reduction commitments are not much below current (2010) levels: they do not imply major additional emission reductions
- For most countries, reduction commitments are significantly above the 'current legislation – no further policies' estimates – for different reasons, to be discussed
- EU TSAP targets will not be met by the agreed emission reduction commitments (e.g., 23 mio more life years lost than the TSAP target)
- Agreed reduction commitments are significantly above those of a climate stabilization trajectory
- However, due to low ambitions (especially on SO<sub>2</sub>), global temperature increase from the changes in short-lived forcers is limited to about 8 mK