### AIR POLLUTION EMISSION AND POPULATION EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT OF THE UPDATE OF THE FINNISH ENERGY AND CLIMATE STRATEGY

Niko Karvosenoja, <u>Mikko Savolahti</u>, Kaarle Kupiainen, Ville-Veikko Paunu Finnish Environment Institute (SYKE)



### **Contents of the presentation**

- Background on the Finnish Energy and Climate Strategy update
- Environmental Impact Assessment of the Strategy
  - Background
  - Air pollution emissions
  - Population exposure to primary PM2.5
- Conclusions



### **Update of the Finnish Energy and Climate Strategy**

- Update of the 2008 Climate Strategy to integrate the impacts of
  - Economic recession
  - Industrial factory closings in Finland
  - Latest outlook on bioenergy potential in Finland
  - Extension to 2030 (However, the EIA at the moment only for 2020)
- Two scenarios
  - Baseline to fulfill agreed EU targets:
    - Generally 20/20/20
    - More specifically for Finland: renewables target 38 %, non-ETS emissions -16%
  - With-Additional-Measures (WAM) to additionally include measures to reach the non-ETS sector goal (-16%) and better anticipate 80% reduction by 2050 (differs very little from Baseline in 2020)
    - Traffic mode changes and "eco-driving"
    - Stricter building energy regulation



### **Update of the Finnish Energy and Climate Strategy**







### **Update of the Finnish Energy and Climate Strategy**



### **EIA of the Energy and Climate Strategy**

- Impacts of the Strategy on
  - Human health and welfare
  - Environment: soil, waters, air, flora, fauna, biodiversity
  - Urban structure, built environment, cultural heritage
  - Use of natural resources
  - Interactions between the above-mentioned
- Quantitative and qualitative assessments
- Most emphasis on:
  - Impacts on carbon sinks, e.g. carbon budgets of forest soils
  - Impacts on biodiversity
  - Life-cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA)
  - Air pollution emissions and population exposure



### Finnish Regional Emission Scenario (FRES) model

Integrating policy analysis tool in the core of the Finnish Integrated Assessment Modeling (IAM) framework



## Finnish Regional Emission Scenario (FRES) model

www.environment.fi/syke/pm-modeling

Anthropogenic emissions 1990, 2000, 2005, 2010, 2020, 2030, 2050 (several projections)

Comprehensive and congruent calculation for primary PM and gases
•primary PM (TSP, PM<sub>10 - 2.5 - 1 - 0.1</sub>, chemical composition, incl. BC/OC/sulfates)
•SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, NMVOCs
•GHGs

- Abatement technologies and costs
- Aggregation: 154 sectors, 15 fuels (GAINS compatible)
- Large point sources (>200), small point sources (> 200), area emissions (1 × 1km<sup>2</sup>)
- Several emission heights
- Dispersion with s-r matrices (10 × 10km<sup>2</sup> and 1 × 1km<sup>2</sup>)
- LRT from EMEP
- Databases of population and critical loads





30.4.2013

### Air pollution emissions and pop. exposure

Emissions of

- SO<sub>2</sub>
- NOx
- Black carbon (BC)
- Primary PM2.5

Population exposure of primary PM2.5



## Air pollution emissions, SO2 (ktons/a)

### Emissions decrease from 2010 due to

- Lesser use of peat, oil and coal
- IE directive

Very little difference between Baseline and WAM



SYKE





## Air pollution emissions, NOx (ktons/a)

### Emissions decrease from 2010 due to

- Traffic car fleet renewal
- Lesser use of peat, oil and coal
- IE directive

Very little difference between Baseline and WAM



SYKE



### Air pollution emissions, PM2.5 (ktons/a)

Emissions decrease from 2010 due to

- Traffic car fleet renewal
- Wood stove stock renewal
- IE directive (+ national legislation) to energy plants < 50 MW</li>

Slight decrease from Baseline to WAM

- Less traffic fuel consumption due to measures on traffic mode changes and "eco-driving"
- Less house heating need due to stricter building regulation







### Air pollution emissions, BC (ktons/a)

Emissions decrease from 2010 due to

- Traffic car fleet renewal
- Wood stove stock renewal

Slight decrease from Baseline to WAM

- Less traffic fuel consumption due to measures on traffic mode changes and "eco-driving"
- Less house heating need due to stricter building regulation



SYKE



## **Primary PM dispersion in FRES**

- 1. Long-range transport impacts with EMEP 50 km resolution Not used in this
- 2. Finnish high-stack PM emissions with 10 km resolution
- 3. Finnish near-ground PM emissions with 1 km resolution
  - 1. EMEP source-receptor matrices (SRM) 50 x 50 km



2. Lagrangian SILAM based SRM 10 x 10 km 3. Gaussian UDM-FMI based SRM 1 x 1 km





### **Primary PM2.5 concentrations**

Primary PM2.5 SRMs at 1 x 1 km resolution were applied to Finnish near ground emissions

Modelled primary PM2.5 concentrations in 2020 Baseline (ng/m3)



Road traffic

Machinery

Residential wood combustion



### **Primary PM2.5 concentrations**

Primary PM2.5 SRMs at 10 x 10 km resolution were applied to Finnish high stack emissions

Modelled primary PM2.5 concentrations in 2020 Baseline (ng/m3)



Energy production, industry and processes



### Population exposure to primary PM2.5 (µg/m3)

Population exposure decrease from 2010 due to

- Traffic car fleet renewal
- Wood stove stock renewal

Slight decrease from Baseline to WAM

- Less traffic fuel consumption due to measures on traffic mode changes and "eco-driving"
- Less house heating need due to stricter building regulation







# Conclusions

The strategy scenarios rather conservative and industry driven. Challenging to get to a -80% pathway by 2050

Air pollution study demonstrates decrease in emissions and population exposure from 2010 to 2020 thanks to emission legislation and cleaner fuels

Baseline - WAM scenario comparison demonstrates positive impacts of traffic- and residential-related NTMs (e.g. traffic mode changes, "eco-driving", stricter building efficiency regulations) on the emissions and population exposure to PM2.5 and BC

Potential trade-offs from residential wood heating

Increased population exposure and negative health impacts from urban emissions

Increased climate impacts from winter-time BC emissions (especially through snow albedo effects)



# Thank You

www.environment.fi/syke/pm-modeling





This work was supported by projects

"Mitigation of Arctic warming by controlling European black carbon emissions (MACEB)" and "Climate change, air quality and housing - future challenges to public health (CLAIH)"

funded by LIFE+ 09 Environment Policy and Governance and the Academy of Finland

