

Sovereign Cat Bonds and Infrastructure Project Financing

David Croson* and Andreas Richter** (*ex aequo*)

* The Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania

** Department of Insurance Economics, University of Hamburg Germany; and The Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania

Abstract:

We examine the opportunities for using catastrophe-linked securities to reduce the total costs of funding infrastructure projects in emerging economies. Our objective is to elaborate on methods to reduce the necessity for unanticipated (emergency) project funding immediately after a natural disaster. We also place the existing explanations of sovereign-level contingent capital into a catastrophic risk management framework. In doing so, we address the following questions:

Why might catastrophe-linked securities be useful to a sovereign nation, over and above their usefulness for insurers and reinsurers?

Why are such financial instruments ideally suited for protecting infrastructure projects in emerging economies, under third-party sponsorship, from low-probability, high-consequence events which occur as a result of natural disasters?

How can the willingness-to-pay of a sovereign government in an emerging economy (or its external project sponsor), who values timely completion of infrastructure projects, for such instruments be calculated?

To supplement our treatment of these questions, we use a multi-layer spreadsheet-based model (in Microsoft Excel format) to calculate the overall cost reductions possible through the judicious use of catastrophe-based financial tools. We also report on numerical comparative statics on the value of contingent-capital financing to avoid project disruption based on varying costs of capital, probability and consequences of disasters, the feasibility of strategies for mid-stage project abandonment, and the timing of capital commitments to the infrastructure investment.

We use these results to identify high-priority applications of catastrophe-linked securities, so that maximal protection can be realized if the total number of catastrophe

instruments is initially limited. The paper concludes with potential extensions to our model and opportunities for future research.

References

Bantwal, Vivek and Howard Kunreuther (1999), "A Cat Bond Premium Puzzle?", Working Paper 99-05-10, Wharton Risk Management and Decision Processes Center, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA.

Bhide, Amar (1986), "Hustle as Strategy", *Harvard Business Review* 64: 59-66.

Calem, Paul S., and John A. Rizzo (1992), "Banks as Information Specialists: The Case of Hospital Lending", *Journal of Banking & Finance* 16: 1123-1141.

Canabarro, Eduardo, Markus Finkemeier, Richard R. Anderson, and Fouad Bendimerad (1998), *Analyzing Insurance-Linked Securities* (New York: Goldman Sachs & Co.).

Croson, David C., and Howard Kunreuther (1999), "Customizing Reinsurance and Cat Bonds for Natural Hazard Risks", Working Paper 99-05-15, Wharton Risk Management and Decision Processes Center, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA.

Cummins, J. David, and Neil A. Doherty (1997), "Can Insurers Pay for the 'Big One'? Measuring the Capacity of an Insurance Market to respond to Catastrophic Losses", Working Paper; The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA.

Diamond, Douglas W. (1984), "Financial Intermediation and Delegated Monitoring", *The Review of Economic Studies* 51: 393-414.

Dixit, Avinash K., and Robert S. Pindyck (1994), *Investment under uncertainty* (Princeton: Princeton University Press).

Doherty, Neil A. (1997a), "Financial innovation for financing and hedging catastrophe risk", Paper presented at the Fifth Alexander Howden (Australia) Conference on Disaster Insurance, Gold Coast, August 1997.

Doherty, Neil A. (1997b), "Innovations in Managing Catastrophe Risk", *Journal of Risk and Insurance* 64: 713-718.

Eccles, Robert G., and Dwight B. Crane (1988), *Doing deals: Investment banks at work* (Boston, Mass.: Harvard University Press).

Froot, Kenneth A., and Mark S. Seasholes (1997), "USAA: Catastrophic Risk Financing", Case 9-298-007, Harvard Graduate School of Business Administration, Boston, MA.

Insurance Services Office (1999), *Financing Catastrophe Risk: Capital Market Solutions* (New York, N.Y.: Insurance Services Office).

Kydland, Finn E, and Edward C. Prescott (1977), “Rules Rather Than Discretion: The Inconsistency of Optimal Plans”, *Journal of Political Economy* 85: 473-491.

Lewis, Christopher M, and Kevin Murdock (1996), “The Role of Government Contracts in Discretionary Reinsurance Markets for Natural Disasters”, *Journal of Risk & Insurance* 63: 567-597.

Litzenberger, Robert H., David R. Beaglehole, and Craig E. Reynolds (1996), *Assessing Catastrophe-Reinsurance-Linked Securities as a New Asset Class* (New York: Goldman Sachs & Co.).

Simon, Herbert A. (1996): *The Sciences of the Artificial*, 3rd. ed. (Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press).