

Adaptive Dynamics of Mutual Predation

Reinier Hille Ris Lambers

Section Population Biology, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Goal

To explore the evolutionary origin and stability of mutual-predation food webs.

Background and motivation

There are more roles for an organism to play within a trophic system than those of competitor, predator, and prey. This realization leads to a transition from thinking in terms of food *chains* to thinking in terms of food *webs*. (Polis et al., 1995; Persson et al., 1995). Classical concepts from studies of linear food chains and of competitive interactions have thus been probed by theoretical and experimental studies on systems involving more complex interactions between organisms. This has led to insights into the role of intra-guild predation (Mylius et al., 2001) and cannibalism (Claessen et al., 2000) for the regulation of communities.

My PhD research focuses on one such complex interaction between organisms by investigating the ecological and evolutionary consequences of two mite species predating (a) on pollen and (b) on each other's larval stages. Since, with regard to the pollen resource, the two mite species form a guild, and since within this guild, the two mite species nevertheless predate on each other, such a complex interaction is called mutual intra-guild predation (MIGP).

Previous research (Mylius et al., 2001, Diehl and Feissel, 2000) has indicated that intra-guild predation (IGP) systems (consisting of a resource and two resource consumers, of which *one* is also an intra-guild predator that utilizes the other consumer as prey) exhibit diminished opportunity for coexistence when compared to classical linear food chains (consisting of a resource, a resource-consuming prey, and its predator). In particular, at increased primary productivity, the intra-guild predator excludes the prey.

In the case of MIGP, I have found that parameter combinations allowing for coexistence are further restricted compared to linear food chains and IGP systems. In addition, at increased primary productivity, *both* consumer species can exclude the other, resulting in ecological bistability. (R. HilleRisLambers, in prep.)

Research questions

Because of the notoriously fast evolution of arthropods species (illustrated, for example, by the problematic evolution of insect pest resistance to pesticides) the question is begged as to how evolutionarily stable such MIGP systems are. Furthermore, interesting questions arise from considering how such food web configurations could have evolved in the first place. Because of the ecological bistabilities involved in IGP and MIGP systems, evolution towards such complex configurations from other, simpler food web configurations are fraught with potential for evolutionary suicide. On the other hand, given the flexibility in feeding strategies and the substitutability of resources (both species can subsist on either a herbivorous/carnivorous diet alone) it would certainly be

relatively easy for mites to evolve, for example, towards a more specialist strategy, if such an adaptation would be advantageous. This project thus seeks to clarify if MIGP configurations of feeding strategies are robust to evolutionary change, and through which evolutionary pathway they are likely to have arisen.

Methods and work plan

I plan to describe the individual feeding strategy of a mite species by a single parameter that can vary under a trade-off between carnivorous and herbivorous strategies, i.e., between adaptation for predation on the other mite species or for harvesting of the pollen resource. Here we can either consider a simple linear trade-off, based on the assumption that time allocated to one feeding strategy is time *not* allocated to the other, or we can investigate slightly more complex trade-offs derived from physiological constraints.

By varying the two traits thus resulting for the two mite species we can capture a continuum of three-species food web configurations. Specific examples are (1) a classical linear food chain, (2) a classical two-competitor, one-resource system, (3) IGP systems, and (4) MIGP systems. Using techniques developed as part of the adaptive dynamics framework (Metz et al., 1996, Geritz et al., 1998, Dieckmann and Law, 1996, Dieckmann, 1997), we can then not only examine if and how MIGP systems will evolve *to* any of the other food web configurations, but also if and how MIGP systems could evolve *from* any of the other possible food webs, and under what ecological conditions.

I have already constructed and analyzed a stage-structured model of two size-structured generalist predators feeding on each other and on a common resource. In addition, I have already analyzed an unstructured version of this model. I have found that this simpler, and thus more analytically tractable model, exhibits the same qualitative behavior as the more complex structured model; in particular, it gives the some qualitative predictions about species replacements along a gradient of primary productivity. I therefore propose to first use the unstructured version of the model (possibly later extending the analysis to the structured version depending on time and computational ease). I then plan to follow a sequence of three steps:

- A first step will involve deriving the fitness functions for both species, given a trade-off between herbivorous and carnivorous feeding strategies. Due to the symmetry of interactions (both species are described by identical equations, and differ only in parameters involved) the fitness expressions will be identical. While I will eventually deal with linear, convex, or concave trade-offs between the two feeding strategies, initial analyses will focus on the linear case.
- In a second step I will study the potential for evolution towards MIGP systems by constructing pairwise invasibility plots (Metz et al., 1996, Geritz et al., 1998) for each of the possible food web configurations from which MIGP systems could evolve.
- The third step will involve studying the coevolutionary dynamics of both species's feeding strategies, using the canonical equation of adaptive dynamics (Dieckmann and Law, 1996).

The first two steps will also help me to familiarize myself with the methods of adaptive dynamics theory and may already be completed before the start of the summer program. The third step will be developed during the summer program in collaboration with Ulf Dieckmann.

Relevance and link to ADN's research plan

Understanding how different food webs are linked to each other through the evolution of feeding strategies would contribute to a greater understanding of community evolution. In addition there is a chance of increasing our understanding of arthropod feeding strategies and thus contribute to the current debate on the evolution of specialism vs. generalism. This study may also generate interesting insights into mechanisms of adaptive speciation. Other important applications may arise for applications in the field of biological control.

Expected output and publications

This work is expected to result in one chapter in my PhD thesis, which will also be submitted as a co-authored piece for publication late in 2002 or early in 2003.

References

- DeAngelis, D.L. and Gross, L.J. (1992). Individual-based models and approaches in ecology - populations, communities and ecosystems. Chapman & Hall, New York.
- Dieckmann, U. (1997). Can adaptive dynamics invade? *TREE* 12:128-131.
- Dieckmann, U., and Law, R. (1996). The dynamical theory of coevolution: A derivation from stochastic ecological processes. *J. Math. Biol.* 34:579-612.
- Diehl, S., Feissel, M. (2000). Effects of enrichment on three-level food chains with omnivory. *American Naturalist* 155: 200-218.
- Geritz, S.A.H., Kisdi, É., Meszéna, G. & Metz, J.A.J. (1998). Evolutionarily singular strategies and the adaptive growth and branching of the evolutionary tree. *Evol. Ecol.* 12:35-57.
- Persson, L., Bengtsson, J., Menge, B.A. & Power, M.E. (1995). Productivity and consumer regulation — Concepts, patterns, and mechanisms. In: "Food webs: integration of patterns & dynamics" (G.A. Polis & K.O Winemiller, Eds.), pp. 396-434. Chapman & Hall, New York.
- Polis, G.A., Holt, R.D., Menge, B.A. & Winemiller, K.O. (1995). Time, space and life history: Influences on food webs. In: "Food webs: Integration of patterns & dynamics" (G.A. Polis & K.O Winemiller, Eds.), pp. 435-460. Chapman & Hall, New York.
- Mylius, S.D., Klumpers, K., de Roos, A.M. & Persson, L. (2001). Impact of intraguild predation and stage structure on simple communities along a productivity gradient. *American Naturalist* 158: 259-276

Metz, J.A.J., Geritz, S.A.H., Meszéna, G., Jacobs, F.J.A. & van Heerwaarden, J.S. (1996). Adaptive dynamics, a geometrical study of the consequences of nearly faithful reproduction, in S.J. van Strien & S.M. Verduyn Lunel (eds), Elsevier, North Holland, pp. 183-231.